IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD OCTOBER 2007 / 11TH ASWINA 1929

RP.No. 906 of 2007 IN TRC. NO.87 OF 2003

(AGAINST THE ORDER IN TRC.87/2003 DATED 25TH JUNE,2007)

.....

PETITIONER/RESPONDENT IN TRC NO.87/03:

MR.MATHEW JOSEPH,
PALM FIBRE&YARNS TRADINING CO.,
CALVETTY
COCHIN-1.

BY ADV. SRI.P.BALACHANDRAN (SR.)
SMT.PREETHA S.NAIR

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER IN TRC NO.87/03:

STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (LAW),
COMMERCIAL TAXES,
ERNAKULAM.

BY SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.VINOD CHANDRAN.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/10/2007, ALONG WITH RP NO. 908 OF 2007 RP NO. 907 OF 2007 RP NO. 909 OF 2007 AND RP NO. 913 OF 2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

R.P.Nos. 906 of 2007 in TRC.No.87 of 2003
907 of 2007 in TRC.No.427 of 2002
908 of 2007 in TRC.No. 432 of 2002
909 of 2007 in TRC No.352 of 2002
&
913 of 2007 in TRC No.391 of 2002

Dated this the 3rd day of October, 2007.

O R D E R

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

These review petitions are filed by the assessee to review our orders passed in TRC.Nos. 87 of 2003, 427 of 2002, 432 of 2002, 352 of 2002 and 391 of 2002.

(2). Before passing the orders on merits in the Tax Revision Cases, we had opportunity of hearing the learned counsel appearing for the assessee. It is only thereafter we have disposed of the Tax Revision Cases on merits.

(3). By these review petitions, the petitioner is requesting us to re-hear the entire matter, which, in our opinion, is impermissible in law.

(4). Therefore, the review petitions require to be rejected and they are accordingly rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

MS